

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/903.48	6 07/29/9	7 FORBES		L	303.326US1	
_	MM42/01			EXAMINER MINTEL.W		
SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH			•			
P O BOX 2	938 IS MN 55402	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11.6141400111 30400	10 111 00 102	•		2811	15	
				DATE MAILED:	01/18/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No. Applicant(s)

Office Action Cummons	08903486 Forbes et al, Examiner Group Art Unit William Mintel 2811				
Office Action Summary	Examiner William M.	intel	Group Art Unit		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	beneath the co	orrespondence ad	ldress	
Peri d for Reply	フ				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleter if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, errailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	y within the statutory mini xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imum of thirty (30) om the mailing dat	days will be considere	d timely.	
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				_	
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			the merits is clos	ed in	
Disp sition of Claims	76				
Disp sition of Claims -15 + 22-	<i>30</i>	/ is/are (pending in the appl	ication.	
Of the above claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)					
☐ Clajm(s)		is/are ı	rejected.		
Claim(s) 122-36		is/are	objected to.		
☐ Claim(s)		are sul	biect to restriction o	or election	
Application Papers		require			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review PTO-948				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		□ disapprove	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	• • •				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	e priority documents i	have been			
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the Internation					
*Certified copies not received:			•		
Attachm nt(s)	1.13				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 11415 🗆	Interview Sumn	nary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Inform	nal Patent Applicati	on, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other			
Office A	Acti n Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No._

Application/Control Number: 08/903,486 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-11, 14, 15, 22-26, 29-32, 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oyama (367) in view of the Admitted Prior art.
- 3. In re claim 1, lines 1-2, and 4, the English abstract of Oyama recites a MOSFET with source/drains 6, and SiC gate 41. Fig. 1 of Oyama shows a conventional Si substrate 1 and lacks the underlying insulating portion of claim 1, line 3. However, applicant at pages 1-3 of the specification teaches S0I structures. Therefore, in re claim 1, because of the admitted prior art it would have been obvious to form the MOSFET of Oyama on an insulating substrate to obtain the well known advantages of SOI technology.
- 4. In re claims 4, 5, 11, 14, applicant's specification teaches CMOS structures. In re claims 15, 22, 24, 25, 26, 29, 31, 32, 35, it is well known in the art to use CMOS devices to make memory cells. In re claims Oyama recites SIC in the abstract, which is at least a disclosure concerning stoichiometric SiC.
- 5. Claims 2, 3, 12, 13, 27, 28, 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oyama (367) in viewed of the Admitted Prior Art as applied to claim above, and further in view of Halvis and Chen.

Application/Control Number: 08/903,486 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

6. Oyama is described above but does not disclose poly or microcrystalline SiC in the English abstract. Chen (766) at column 4, lines 5-20, teaches nanocrystalline SiC, and Halvis at lines 1-4 of the abstract teaches polycrystalline SiC. These references teach that various forms of SiC exist such as poly-, nano-, micro-, amorphous, etc. It would therefore have been obvious to use such materials as the SiC gate of Oyama as known art equivalent forms of SiC.

- 7. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the underlying insulating portion must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15, 22-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Cited as of background interest is Forbes (368). Cited as of background interest for SiC is Hartsell.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. Mintel whose telephone number is (703) 308-4916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-THURSDAY from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas, can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mintel/ds

01/08/00

William Wintel rimary Exmr